Intra- and Inter-Operator Variability in HR-pQCT Scan Positioning


MQIR, Department of Radiology & Biomedical Imaging, University of California San Francisco, CA, USA; Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism and Nutrition, Department of Internal Medicine, College of Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; Center for Advanced Orthopaedic Studies, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA; Metabolic Bone Diseases Unit, Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons, New York, NY; University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada; INSERM UMR 1033, Université de Lyon, France; Department of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, University of Calgary, Canada; Department of Endocrinology, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

BACKGROUND

• The role of the operator is critical for comparability of data in cross-sectional studies, in particular multicenter studies.
• The operator acquires a projection of the limb (scout view), and s/he visually identifies an anatomical landmark that determines the region to be scanned.
• Variability in landmark identification impacts bone measurements, especially in the radius.

AIM

• To quantify long-term and short-term intra-operator, and inter-operator precision.
• To quantify effects of operator precision on bone parameters.

REFERENCE LINE POSITIONING

• We reproduced the acquisition interface of the HR-pQCT system (XtremeCT, Scanco).
• We used scout-view images corresponding to double-stack (220 slices) HR-pQCT scans.
• We virtually localized standard 110-slice volume based on each operator’s positioning.

Figure 1. Scout view of double-stack volume images (a). Reference line and corresponding single-stack volume (cyan) (b).

EXPERIMENTS

• A total of 6 operators from multiple imaging positioned reference lines at anatomical landmarks on 56 scout view images.
• We measured positioning precision (SD$_{RMS}$) and impact on bone parameters (CV$_{RMS}$) for:
  - Short-term intra-operator reproducibility.
  - Long-term (6-24 months) intra-operator reproducibility.
  - Inter-operator reproducibility.

POSITIONING PRECISION AND IMPACT ON BONE PARAMETER MEASUREMENTS

• Positioning for the tibia was highly reproducible.
• Errors were greater for the radius and for inter-operator reproducibility.
• Ct.Th was the most sensitive bone parameter to precision variation.

Table 1. Long-term and short-term intra-operator and inter-operator precision and impact of precision on bone parameter measurements for radius and tibia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Precision SD$_{RMS}$ [mm]</th>
<th>Impact on Bone Parameter Measurements CV$_{RMS}$ [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RADIUS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term intra-op (0:8:1:15x3)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term intra-op (0:2:1:27)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-op (0:8:1:53)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan/rescan</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TIBIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Precision SD$_{RMS}$ [mm]</th>
<th>Impact on Bone Parameter Measurements CV$_{RMS}$ [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short-term intra-op (0:8:1:15x3)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term intra-op (0:2:1:28)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-op (0:8:1:56)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan/rescan</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

O = Number of Operators. I = Number of Images.

DISCUSSION

• HR-pQCT positioning for the tibia is highly reproducible over time and across operators.
• Greater position variability is observed for the radius, leading to high precision errors which exceed errors related to patient motion.
• There is a need for more reproducible landmarks, fully automatic scan positioning, and standardized training for operators.

NEXT STEP: TRAINING AND EVALUATION

• We adapted the simulation user interface into a platform to train and evaluate HR-pQCT operators.
• Operators position reference lines on scout view images grouped by bone laterality and landmark visibility, and receive feedbacks on their performances.

Figure 2. Training and evaluation software for reference line position (a). Feedback to operators after training (b).
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